1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6	IN THE UNITED	STATES DISTRICT COLIDT	
7	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
8	DISTRICT OF ARIZONA		
9		CD00 00073 001 PHW DCC	
10	United States of America,	No. CR09-00052-001-PHX-DGC	
11	Plaintiff, vs.	ORDER	
12			
13	Jarrett B. Maupin, II,		
14	Defendant.		
15 16	Upon Defendant's Motion to Ex	xtend Time for Filing of Pre-Trial Motions and to	
17	Continue Trial, the Government not objecting, and good cause appearing,		
18	IT IS HEDERY ORDERED that the Defendant's Mation to Continue Trial is		
19	4 1/1 1 1 4 4 10 H C C 20161/1\(\rho\rho\rho\rho\rho\rho\rho\rho\rho\rho		
20	finds that the ends of justice served by granting a continuance outweigh the best interest of		
21	the public and the Defendant in a speedy trial. This finding is based upon the Court's		
22	conclusion that the failure to grant such a continuance would deny the Defendant the		
23	reasonable time necessary for effective	preparation, taking into account the exercise of due	
24	diligence. 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(8)(B)(i	v).	
25	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the trial set for March 10, 2009 at 9:00 a.m. is		
26	continued to May 12, 2009 at 9:00 a.m. in Phoenix, Arizona.		
27	IT IS HEREBY ORDERED	extending the time to file pretrial motions to	
28	April 17, 2009.		

	Case 2:09-cr-00052-DGC Document 15 Filed 02/26/09 Page 2 of 2
1 2	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that excludable delay under Title 18 U.S.C. §3161(h)
3	(8)(A) will commence on February 11, 2009 for a total of 91days.
4	DATED this 26th day of February, 2009.
5	_
6	Daniel G. Campbell
7	
8	David G. Campbell United States District Judge
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
2021	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
	- 2 -